1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Id. "The district court's decision whether to enter a default judgment is a discretionary one." *Aldabe v. Aldabe*, 616 F.2d 1089, 1092 (9th Cir. 1980). Factors which may be considered by courts in exercising discretion as to the entry of a default judgment include:

(1) the possibility of prejudice to the plaintiff, (2) the merits of plaintiff's substantive claim, (3) the sufficiency of the complaint, (4) the sum of money at stake in the action; (5) the possibility of a dispute concerning material facts; (6) whether the default was due to excusable neglect, and (7) the strong policy underlying the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure favoring decisions on the merits.

Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1471-72 (9th Cir. 1986). Default judgments are ordinarily disfavored and cases "should be decided upon their merits whenever reasonably possible." *Id.* at 1472.

Here, there is no basis for entry of a default judgment against Defendant Guidry.

Defendant Guidry filed a Waiver of Service of Summons with the Court on February 27, 2017.

Dkt. 32. Guidry acknowledged that he received the Court's request to waive service on February 23, 2017. *Id.* Pursuant to the terms of the Waiver, Defendant Guidry must file an answer or motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 within "60 days after February 13, 2017." *Id.*Thus, Defendant Guidry's deadline – April 14, 2017 – has not yet passed.

Accordingly, the Clerk shall not be directed to enter a default and plaintiff's motions (Dkt. 33 and 34) are **DENIED.** The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to counsel for defendants.

DATED this 14th day of April, 2017.

Karen L. Strombom

United States Magistrate Judge